



Introduction to Wireless MIMO – Theory and Applications



Multipath is not enemy but ally



IEEE LI, November 15, 2006

Dr. Jacob Sharony
Director, Network Technologies Division
Center of Excellence in Wireless & IT
Stony Brook University


www.ece.sunysb.edu/~jsharony

Why MIMO

- Motivation: current wireless systems
 - Capacity constrained networks
 - Issues related to quality and coverage
- MIMO exploits the *space* dimension to improve wireless systems capacity, range and reliability
- MIMO-OFDM – the corner stone of future broadband wireless access
 - WiFi – 802.11n
 - WiMAX – 802.16e (a.k.a 802.16-2005)
 - 3G / 4G

Transmission on a multipath channel


In wireless communication the propagation channel is characterized by multipath propagation due to scattering on different obstacles



- Time variations: Fading $\Rightarrow$ SNR variations
- Time spread $\Rightarrow$ frequency selectivity

Transmission on a multipath channel


Fading:



- The received level variations result in SNR variations
- The received level is sensitive to the transmitter and receiver locations


MIMO Defined

- MIMO is an acronym that stands for **M**ultiple **I**nter **M**ultiple **O**utput.
- It is an antenna technology that is used both in transmission and receiver equipment for wireless radio communication.
- There can be various MIMO configurations. For example, a 2x2 MIMO configuration is 2 antennas to transmit signals (from base station) and 2 antennas to receive signals (mobile terminal).



MIMO vs. SIMO/MISO


(Linear vs. Logarithmic Improvement)



How MIMO Works

- MIMO takes advantage of multi-path.
- MIMO uses multiple antennas to send multiple parallel signals (from transmitter).
- In an urban environment, these signals will bounce off trees, buildings, etc. and continue on their way to their destination (the receiver) but in different directions.
- “Multi-path” occurs when the different signals arrive at the receiver at various times.
- With MIMO, the receiving end uses an algorithm or special signal processing to sort out the multiple signals to produce one signal that has the originally transmitted data.

How MIMO Works (cont.)



Multiple data streams transmitted in a single channel at the same time

Multiple radios collect multipath signals

Delivers simultaneous speed, coverage, and reliability improvements

Types of MIMO

- MIMO involves Space Time Transmit Diversity (STTD), Spatial Multiplexing (SM) and Uplink Collaborative MIMO.
- ***Space Time Transmit Diversity (STTD)*** - The same data is coded and transmitted through different antennas, which effectively doubles the power in the channel. This improves Signal Noise Ratio (SNR) for cell edge performance.
- ***Spatial Multiplexing (SM)*** - the “Secret Sauce” of MIMO. SM delivers parallel streams of data to CPE by exploiting multi-path. It can double (2x2 MIMO) or quadruple (4x4) capacity and throughput. SM gives higher capacity when RF conditions are favorable and users are closer to the BTS.
- ***Uplink Collaborative MIMO Link*** - Leverages conventional single Power Amplifier (PA) at device. Two devices can collaboratively transmit on the same sub-channel which can also double uplink capacity.


Space-Time Transmit Diversity

Alamouti Code

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & -s_2^* \\ s_2 & s_1^* \end{bmatrix}$$


time

space



MIMO Increases Throughput


Spatial Multiplexing




Wireless throughput scales as more radio transmissions are added onto the same channel

Only baseband complexity, die size/cost, and power consumption limits the number of simultaneous transmissions (assuming good channel conditions)

MIMO Channel Capacity




MIMO Increases Range




Each multipath route is treated as a separate channel, creating many “virtual wires” over which to transmit signals

Traditional radios are confused by this multipath, while MIMO takes advantage of these “echoes” to increase range and throughput


Single Radio Performance (Office)




MIMO Performance (Office)



Single Radio vs. MIMO Performance



HDTV + SDTV + Gaming + Music + Internet + Voice




Different from Traditional Multiple Access Techniques

- It is not FDMA – multiple users using the same frequency
- It is not TDMA – multiple users communicate simultaneously
- It is not CDMA/Spread Spectrum – frequency band occupied is similar to that of conventional QAM system
- It is not SDMA – there are no directed steered/switched beams in space (e.g., smart antennas)
- *It is ECDMA (Environmental CDMA): like CDMA without having to spread the signal through space-time coding; here the code is the imprint of the environment on the signal and it comes free...*


Exploiting Multipath Rather than Mitigating It

MIMO Channel




$$H(4 \times 4)$$

The “Magic”: Separating the self-coded signals




using laser diodes



using radio frequency

MIMO Channel Matrix




Example for 3 X 4 system:

Number of spatial streams equals $\text{rank}(H) \leq \min(M, N)$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \\ h_{41} & h_{42} & h_{43} \end{bmatrix}$$

h_{ij} are complex numbers: $a+jb$ (amplitude & phase) and frequency selective



How It Works

Example for 3 X 3 system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{H}} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{Noise}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_1 \\ \hat{b}_2 \\ \hat{b}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Impact of Channel Model

MIMO performance is very sensitive to channel matrix *invertibility*


The following degrades the conditioning of the channel matrix:

- Antenna correlation caused by:
 - small antenna spacing, or
 - small angle spread

Line of sight component compared with multipath fading component:

- multipath fading component, close to i.i.d. random, is well conditioned
- Line of sight component is very poorly conditioned.

MIMO-SM in Line-of-Site



$$\mathbf{H} \approx \alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system is near rank one (non invertible)!

Spatial multiplexing requires multipath to work!!!

Zero-Forcing Receiver

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & \dots \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{n}$$

Zero Forcing implements matrix (pseudo)-inverse (ignores noise enhancement problems):




$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{H}^\# \mathbf{x}$$

Where,

$$\mathbf{H}^\# = (\mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^*$$


Example

Simultaneous Transmission of 3 Different Bit-Streams



Downstream Signals

all signals sent at same frequency and same time




Mixed Signals

Downstream

channel mixing matrix

noise

$$\underline{R} = \underline{H} \cdot \underline{S} + \underline{n}$$



e.g., $R_1 = h_{11}S_1 + h_{12}S_2 + h_{13}S_3 + h_{14}S_4 + n_1$

The Received Signals

$$R_1 = h_{11}S_1 + h_{12}S_2 + h_{13}S_3 + h_{14}S_4 + n$$

$$R_2 = h_{21}S_1 + h_{22}S_2 + h_{23}S_3 + h_{24}S_4 + n$$

$$R_3 = h_{31}S_1 + h_{32}S_2 + h_{33}S_3 + h_{34}S_4 + n$$

$$R_4 = h_{41}S_1 + h_{42}S_2 + h_{43}S_3 + h_{44}S_4 + n$$


$$\underline{R} = \underline{H} \cdot \underline{S} + \underline{n} \quad \underline{H} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} & h_{14} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} & h_{24} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} & h_{34} \\ h_{41} & h_{42} & h_{43} & h_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\underline{S}} \Leftarrow \underline{H}^{-1} \cdot \underline{R} \approx \underbrace{\underline{H}^{-1} \cdot \underline{H}}_{\underline{Y}} \cdot \underline{S}$$

If $\underline{H}$ is ill-conditioned (close to singular)
 $\underline{Y}$ will be far from the identity matrix
 Resulting in co-channel interference

Spatial Correlation

or how well the matrix H is conditioned



Spatial Correlation (cont.)

Correlation

$$\rho = \frac{E[(v_1 - E(v_1))(v_2 - E(v_2))]}{E[(v_1 - E(v_1))^2]E[(v_2 - E(v_2))^2]}$$

Receive Antenna 1


$$v_1 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^L \alpha_j e^{i\phi_j} \right) u_1$$

Receive Antenna 2

$$v_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^L \alpha_j e^{i\phi_j} e^{i\beta d_j} \right) u_1; \quad \beta = \omega / c; \quad d_j = D \cos \theta_j^r$$

Spatial Correlation (cont.)

Correlation Drops Significantly for $D > \lambda$ When Angle Spread $> 65^\circ$



Co-Channel Interference

$$\underline{Y} = \underline{H}_{est}^{-1} \cdot \underline{H}_{true} \neq \underline{I}$$


$$\hat{\underline{S}} \Leftarrow \underline{Y} \cdot \underline{S}$$

$$\underline{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{14} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{23} & y_{24} \\ y_{31} & y_{32} & y_{33} & y_{34} \\ y_{41} & y_{42} & y_{43} & y_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$SINR_{S_k} = 20 \log \frac{|y_{kk}|}{\left| \sum_{j \neq k} y_{kj} \right|}$$


Graceful Capacity Degradation in Partially Correlated Channels

Multi-path components do not need to be fully independent




Random Capacity in MIMO Channels

Correlation Effect




Collaborative MIMO



Four 4x4 mixing matrices, one for each MU

Collaborative MIMO

Upstream



Mixed Channels

Upstream


channel mixing matrix

noise

$$\underline{R} = \underline{H} \cdot \underline{S} + \underline{n}$$

*Four different
MU's*

Single AP



e.g., $R_1 = h_{11}S_1 + h_{12}S_2 + h_{13}S_3 + h_{14}S_4 + n_1$


MIMO Pre-Processing at the Transmitter

A single antenna at the mobile

- AP pre-processes the signals based on channel knowledge (CSI Tx)
- No MIMO processing in the mobile
- AP sends linear combination of all signals from each antenna such that when they all arrive at the mobile all undesired signals cancel out
- Effectively AP solves the equation to each mobile
- Benefits:
 - Mobile: lower cost, power and size
 - Scalability: more MIMO channels possible resulting in higher aggregate capacity
 - Strong physical-layer security, hard to break

MIMO Pre-Processing at the Transmitter

A single antenna at the mobile



All undesired signals cancel out at the mobile

Mixed Channels


Downstream

channel mixing matrix

noise

$$\underline{R} = \underline{H} \cdot \underline{S}' + \underline{n}$$

e.g., $R_1 = h_{11}S'_1 + h_{12}S'_2 + h_{13}S'_3 + h_{14}S'_4 + n_1$



MIMO Pre-Processing at the Transmitter

Downlink

$$\underline{R} = \underline{H} \cdot \underline{S} + \underline{n}$$

$$\underline{S}' = \underline{W} \cdot \underline{S}$$


$$\underline{R}' = \underline{H} \cdot \underline{S}' + \underline{n} = \underbrace{\underline{H} \cdot \underline{W}}_{\underline{Y}} \cdot \underline{S} + \underline{n}$$

$$\underline{W} = \underline{H}^{-1}$$

$$\underline{Y} = \underline{H}_{true} \cdot \underline{H}_{est}^{-1} \neq \underline{I}$$

$$\underline{\hat{S}} \Leftarrow \underline{Y} \cdot \underline{S}$$

End-to-End Reciprocity



- Practically, downstream and upstream channel matrices are not reciprocal
- AP Tx/Rx chain mismatch could result in significant performance degradation

End-to-End Reciprocity (cont.)

$$H'_{\text{D}} = R_{\text{mu}} H_{\text{D}} T_{\text{AP}} \quad \text{end-to-end downstream}$$

$$H'_{\text{U}} = R_{\text{AP}} H_{\text{U}} T_{\text{mu}} \quad \text{end-to-end upstream, estimated using training sequence}$$

Note that R_{mu} , T_{mu} , R_{AP} and T_{AP} are diagonal matrices

H_{D} and H_{U} are the channel matrices (antenna-to-antenna) for downstream and upstream, respectively

$$H_{\text{D}} = R_{\text{mu}}^{-1} H'_{\text{D}} T_{\text{AP}}^{-1} \quad \text{antenna-to-antenna downstream}$$

$$H_{\text{U}} = R_{\text{AP}}^{-1} H'_{\text{U}} T_{\text{mu}}^{-1} \quad \text{antenna-to-antenna upstream}$$

$$H_{\text{D}} = H^{\text{T}}_{\text{U}} \quad \text{reciprocity from EM theory}$$

$$R_{\text{mu}}^{-1} H'_{\text{D}} T_{\text{AP}}^{-1} = (R_{\text{AP}}^{-1} H'_{\text{U}} T_{\text{mu}}^{-1})^{\text{T}}$$

$$R_{\text{mu}}^{-1} H'_{\text{D}} T_{\text{AP}}^{-1} = T_{\text{mu}}^{-1} H'^{\text{T}}_{\text{U}} R_{\text{AP}}^{-1}$$

$$H'_{\text{D}} = R_{\text{mu}} T_{\text{mu}}^{-1} H'^{\text{T}}_{\text{U}} R_{\text{AP}}^{-1} T_{\text{AP}}$$

Note that R_{mu} and T_{mu} are unknown

H'_{U} , T_{AP} and R_{AP} are known

Calibration at the AP

$$P'_D = H'^T U R_{AP}^{-1} T_{AP} \quad \text{matrix used for pre-processing}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} P'^{-1}_D = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (H'^T U R_{AP}^{-1} T_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} ((R_{AP} H_U T_{\mu})^T R_{AP}^{-1} T_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (T_{\mu} H^T U R_{AP} R_{AP}^{-1} T_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (T_{\mu} H_D R_{AP} R_{AP}^{-1} T_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (T_{\mu} H_D T_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} T_{AP}^{-1} H_D^{-1} T_{\mu}^{-1}$$

$Y = R_{\mu} H_D H_D^{-1} T_{\mu}^{-1}$

highly diagonal (low interference)

No Calibration at the AP

$P'_D = H'^T U$ matrix used for pre-processing

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} P'^{-1}_D = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (H'^T U)^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} ((R_{AP} H_U T_{\mu})^T)^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (T_{\mu} H^T U R_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D T_{AP} (T_{\mu} H_D R_{AP})^{-1}$$

$$Y = R_{\mu} H_D \underbrace{T_{AP} R^{-1}_{AP}}_{\text{diagonality could be spoiled resulting in interference}} H^{-1}_D T^{-1}_{\mu}$$

diagonality could
be spoiled resulting
in interference

End-to-End Reciprocity

Conclusions


- AP Tx/Rx mismatch could result in significant performance degradation
- MU Tx/Rx mismatch has relatively small effect on performance
- Calibration in the AP is *necessary* and *sufficient*

Mobility Effects

- Motions of mobiles change the channel matrix
- Since the packet length is very short, the change of channel matrix is supposed to be negligible. Estimation of channel matrix using header (preamble) only is considered as the channel responses for decoding the entire packet.
- The SINR results are much worse than what were expected originally. The reason is: when the condition number of H is very high, H^{-1} is very sensitive to small changes of H .
- SINR for some multiplexing channels may be less than 10dB even when the displacement of a Tx or Rx is less than 2% of the wavelength
- Better estimation of channel matrix is required.

Effect of Mobility

Statistical Model





$$h_{ik} = \sum_p a_p e^{-j\theta_p} \longrightarrow \tilde{h}_{ik} = \sum_p a_p e^{-j(\theta_p + (2\pi/\lambda)\Delta x \cos \varphi_p)}$$

$$\theta_p, \varphi_p \text{ iid uniformly } [0, 2\pi]$$

9 Mobiles; None Move



Input SNR=20dB



SINR's constants and finite
(imperfect channel estimation
due to noise)

9 Mobiles; 1 Moves

Input SNR=20dB



-Uplink: ALL SINR's are deteriorating as the displacement increases except that for the moving mobile

-Downlink: ALL SINR's remain unchanged except that for the moving mobile

Applications


- WLAN – WiFi 802.11n
- Mesh Networks (e.g., MuniWireless)
- WMAN – WiMAX 802.16e
- 4G
- RFID
- Digital Home

High Throughput WiFi - 802.11n

General



- Using the *space* dimension (MIMO) to boost data rates up to 600 Mbps through multiple antennas and signal processing
- Target applications include: large files backup, HD streams, online interactive gaming, home entertainment, etc.
- Backwards compatible with 802.11a/b/g





High Throughput WiFi - 802.11n

Technology Overview




- 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz unlicensed bands
- Channel bandwidth of 20 MHz and 40 MHz
- Up to 4 spatial streams (e.g., 4x4)
- Current product offerings (pre-N) use only 2 spatial streams with 3Tx / 3Rx in the AP and 2Tx / 3Rx in the mobile supporting up to 300 Mbps
- Spatial diversity, spatial multiplexing, beamforming
- Enhancements in both PHY and MAC (e.g., frame aggregation, block-ACK, space-time coding, power save, green field mode, etc.)

MIMO in MuniWireless



- High capacity (MIMO) cross-links
- WiFi access

MIMO in Ad-Hoc Network



- A collection of wireless mobile nodes that self-configure to form a network (data rate + range)
- No fixed infrastructure is required
- Any two nodes can communicate with each other
- High capacity link are useful for scalability and multimedia services



Mobile-WiMAX 802.16e


Technology Overview




- Non line of site, up to 4-6 mbps per user for a few km
- 2.5 GHz (US) and 3.5 GHz licensed bands
- Channel bandwidth from 1.25 to 20 MHz
- QPSK, 16 QAM and 64 QAM modulation
- OFDMA access (orthogonal uplink)
- TDD for asymmetric traffic and flexible BW allocation
- Advanced Antenna Systems (AAS): Beamforming, spatial diversity, spatial multiplexing using MIMO (2x2)

MIMO in WiMAX

A 2x2 MIMO Configuration in 802.16e




Downlink




**Uplink
(collaborative)**

- Increasing spectral efficiency (bps/Hz)
- Downlink – higher capacity and user peak rates
- Uplink – higher capacity only

MIMO in WiMAX (cont.)



OFDMA TDD Frame Structure




Time/Frequency Multi-User Diversity

MIMO in WiMAX (cont.)

Layer 3 Throughput Comparison

<i>technology</i>	<i>throughput per sector/per channel</i>		
	downlink		uplink
	1 Rx	2 Rx	2 Rx
1XEVD0 rev A 2.5 MHz	0.9 Mbps	1.3 Mbps	0.5 Mbps
HSPA 10 MHz	2.4 Mbps	3.6 Mbps	1.5 Mbps
Mobile WiMax 2:1 DL/UL 2x2 -10 MHz	NA	14 Mbps	5.3 Mbps

MIMO in RFID



- Increasing read reliability using space diversity
- Increasing read range and read throughput
- Full channel information at the reader comes for free (tag backscatter)

MIMO Enables the Digital Home

MIMO delivers whole home coverage with the speed and reliability to stream multimedia applications


MIMO can reliably connect cabled video devices, computer networking devices, broadband connections, phone lines, music, storage devices, etc.

MIMO is interoperable and can leverage the installed base of 802.11 wireless that is already deployed: computers, PDAs, handheld gaming devices, cameras, VoIP Phones, etc.



The Ultimate Digital Home

WiFi 802.11n



Questions ?

Contact Information:

jacob.sharony@stonybrook.edu

Thank You!